UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,853	07/18/2000	Thomas Lenz	76138-111	8635
61263 PROSKAUER	61263 7590 01/30/2008 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, N.W., SUITE 400 SOUTH WASHINGTON, DC 20004			TO, TUAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3663	3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/618,853	LENZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN C. TO	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M					
<i>7</i> — <i>7</i> —	•				
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2000 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/618,853

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm et al. (US 5884719) and in view of Taniguchi et al. (US 5947221A).

Application/Control Number: 09/618,853

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 1, 3, and 6-9: Schramm et al. disclosed an apparatus and a method for controlling drive slip. In column 1, lines 60-67, and in column 2, lines 1-35, Schramm et al described where the vehicle requires the traction. In a case the driver travels through a rough terrain or mountain road with high coefficient of friction, the driver push the accelerator pedal more, the less desired slip, but wherein the case the surface of road with low coefficient of friction such as deep snow, ice, the driver actuates the accelerator pedal less, the greater desired slip.

Therefore, Schramm et al. discloses "increasing the normal drive slip value" when the road surface of road with low coefficient of friction.

Schramm et al. does not disclose when the dynamic values associated with the front wheels exceeds a threshold value, increasing the normal drive slip of the rear wheels.

Taniguchi et al. teaches a vehicular motion controlling system in which when the vehicle running on a frozen road or a low friction road, the speed of the front wheels exceeds a threshold (see column 3, lines 43-58).

Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Schramm et al. to include the teaching as taught by Taniguchi et al. in order to control the stability of the vehicle in different driving condtion.

Application/Control Number: 09/618,853

Art Unit: 3663

Claim 2: Schramm et al disclosed that the speeds the non-driven front wheels are inputted in the first step of determining the desired drive slip (Column 5, lines 11-35).

Claim 5: Schramm et al. disclosed that determining desired slip as a function of the driver's command, and increasing the desired slip with an increasing driver's command. Therefore, if the vehicle travels in a curve, the drive slip value will not increased. (See column 2, lines 1-14).

Allowable Subject Matter

The examiner has updated the search from the areas that are relevant to the subject matter of claim 4, but none of the reference discloses the limitations of claim 4, thus claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

In response to the applicant's appeal on 05/29/2003, the final rejection dated on 02/25/2003 has been withdrawn.

However, the application cannot be placed in a condition of allowance because the new cited reference is combined with the reference of Schramm et al suggests the limitations of the claims.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner,

Tuan C To

January 29, 2008